

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	۷O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,718		09/09/2003	Steve Kangas	S63.2-11232-US01	2774
490	75	90 02/03/2006	EXAMINER		
		ETT & STEINKRAI	BUTTNER, DAVID J		
6109 BL SUITE 2		RCLE DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185				1712	
				DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		!					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/658,718	KANGAS, STEVE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David Buttner	1712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	, _						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17,19-26,39 is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-17,19-26,39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18,27-38,40 and 41</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>18,27-38,40 and 41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/24/03;2/9/05</u> . 6) Other:							

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-17 and 19-26, drawn to a composition, classified in class 522, subclass 141.

II. Claims 18 and 28-41, drawn to a coated medical device, classified in class604, subclass 265.

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as coating for non-medical articles and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Additionally the independent "coated" claims 27 and 28 require additional limitations (ie absorbance, noncrosslinking). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1712

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: various unsaturated resins (monomers?).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 18,27-34,40 and 41 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1712

During a telephone conversation with Lisa Lindquist on 1/31/06 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of II, claims 18 and 27-41. In addition, the species of di(meth)acrylates was elected. Claim 39 is considered a nonelected species. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-17,19-26 and 39 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18,27-38,40 and 41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims call for an unsaturated resin, yet the species of "unsaturated resins" claimed by applicant (eg #37) are monomers – not resins. Furthermore, even if applicant intends the monomers to be in their polymerized form, the unsaturation of these monomers are no longer present.

The monoacrylates of claim 37 do not qualify as claim 36's diacrylate. The methacrylates of claim 37 are not acrylates required by claim 36.

Claim 18 is dependent on a nonelected claim.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18,27-38,40 and 41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gould '583.

Gould (abstract) suggests canulae having a coating made by reacting a diacrylate in the presence of a hydrophilic polyurethane. The diacrylate can be polyethyleneglycoldiacrylate (example XIII). The polyurethane has polyether segments (see examples). The composition can be initiated by UV with azobutyronitrile (col 3 line 53-57). Presumably, Gould's polyetherurethane has the required absorbance because it has the same polyether segements preferred by applicant (page 2 line 30 of spec).

Garcia '540 (col 7 line 9-11) is cited for its teaching that azobutyronitrile qualifies as a UV initiator.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is 571-272-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,718 Page 6

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

David Buttren

David Buttner

2/1/06